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ABSTRACT. This article is a survey of some developments concerning the existence of constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics and Kähler-Einstein metrics on complex projective varieties. We explain the “moment map” point of view on these questions, and the link with Geometric Invariant Theory and notions of “stability”. We outline existence results for Fano manifolds and for toric surfaces.

1. Background

1.1. Kähler metrics. This write-up follows quite closely the author’s three lectures at the AMS Summer Research Institute. The context for the lectures was the search for “optimal” Riemannian metrics on compact complex manifolds and in particular on complex projective manifolds. This is a huge subject and we do not attempt to cover everything; we focus on situations in which these differential geometric questions are related to Geometric Invariant Theory and notions of “stability”. We begin by recalling some standard background. Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional complex manifold. A hermitian metric on $X$ is a Riemannian metric which is algebraically compatible with the complex structure, in the sense that it is the real part of a Hermitian form. The imaginary part of the Hermitian form is skew-symmetric, an exterior 2-form $\omega$. The metric is Kähler if $\omega$ is closed. There are several equivalent definitions. One is that the holonomy of the Riemannian metric lies in the unitary group—i.e. parallel transport of tangent vectors commutes with multiplication by $I$. Another is that at each point there is a complex co-ordinate system in which the metric agrees with the flat metric to first order. In any case, this Kähler condition is the natural notion of compatibility between the complex and metric structures and we will always consider Kähler metrics here. (Kähler geometry can be seen as the intersection of the three branches: complex, Riemannian and symplectic geometries.)

In local co-ordinates $z_a$ the Hermitian structure is given by a matrix $g_{ab}$ and $\omega = i \sum g_{ab} dz_a d\bar{z}_b$. The $\bar{\partial}\partial$-Lemma states that the metric can be given, locally, by
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a potential \( \phi \)

\[
g_{ab} = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z_a \partial \bar{z}_b},
\]

or, in different notation, \( \omega = i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \).

Suppose that \( X \) is compact and \( \omega_0 \) is a Kähler metric on \( X \). It defines a non-zero cohomology class \( [\omega_0] \in H^2(X; \mathbb{R}) \). The global version of the \( \partial \bar{\partial} \)-Lemma says that any other Kähler metric \( \omega \) in the same cohomology class can be represented by a potential \( \omega = \omega_0 + i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \). This has a geometric interpretation in the case when \( 2\pi [\omega_0] \) is the first Chern class of a holomorphic line bundle \( L \to X \) (so \( X \) is a projective algebraic variety, by the Kodaira embedding theorem). Recall that if \( h \) is a Hermitian metric on \( L \) there is a unique unitary connection on \( L \) compatible with the complex structure. The form \( -2\pi i \omega_0 \) can then be realised as the curvature of a reference metric \( h_0 \) (i.e. the curvature of the compatible connection), and \( \omega \) similarly corresponds to a metric \( e^{\phi} h_0 \).

Recall that a Riemannian manifold has a curvature tensor \( \text{Riem} \). This has a contraction to the Ricci tensor, which is closely related to the induced volume form. One geometric characterisation of the Ricci tensor is in terms of the Riemannian volume form in local geodesic co-ordinates \( x_i \).

\[
\text{Vol} = (1 - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{ij} R_{ij} x_i x_j + O(x^3)) \text{Vol}_{\text{Euc}}.
\]

A further contraction of the Ricci tensor gives the scalar curvature \( S \).

On a Kähler manifold the Ricci tensor is Hermitian, the corresponding 2-form \( \rho \) is closed and represents the class \( 2\pi c_1(X) \). In local complex co-ordinates, a formula for the Ricci form is

\[
\rho = i \partial \bar{\partial} \log(\text{Vol}),
\]

where \( \text{Vol} = \det(h_{ab}) \), the volume in local co-ordinates.

We consider four notions of “optimal” metrics.

- If \( c_1(X) \) is a multiple of the Kähler class, \( c_1 = \lambda [\omega] \), then we can look for a Kähler-Einstein metric: \( \rho = \lambda \omega \).
- In any Kähler class we may seek a constant scalar curvature Kähler (CSCK) metric. Clearly Kähler-Einstein metrics are CSCK.
- In any Kähler class we may consider the critical points of the Calabi functional \( \| \text{Riem} \|^2_2 \). These are called extremal metrics. The Euler-Lagrange equation is that the gradient of the scalar curvature is a holomorphic vector field. CSCK metrics are extremal (and on a manifold with no holomorphic vector fields the converse is true).
- In the case when \( c_1(X) = \lambda [\omega] \), the Kähler-Ricci soliton equation is that \( \rho - \lambda \omega \) is the Lie derivative of \( \omega \) along a holomorphic vector field. Clearly Kähler-Einstein metrics are solitons.

Extremal metrics and Kähler-Ricci solitons arise naturally when considering associated evolution equations, for 1-parameter families of metrics. The Calabi flow is the equation

\[
\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} = i \partial \bar{\partial} S(\omega),
\]
while the Kähler-Ricci flow is

\[ \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} = (\lambda \omega - \rho) , \]

(in the case when \( c_1(X) = \lambda [\omega] \)). Extremal metrics are fixed points of the Calabi flow modulo holomorphic automorphisms and likewise for solitons and the Kähler-Ricci flow.

The basic question we want to address then is the existence of these metrics, in a given Kähler class on a given complex manifold \( X \). There is ample motivation for studying this problem. Of course a paradigm is the case of complex dimension 1, where a compact Riemann surface admits a metric of constant Gauss curvature. This statement can be viewed as a formulation of the uniformisation theorem for compact Riemann surfaces. In higher dimensions there is a huge literature and renowned general theorems; notably from the 1970’s the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics in the negative case (\( \lambda < 0 \)) \[6, 69\] and the Calabi-Yau case (\( \lambda = 0 \)) \[69\]. The existence theorems have important consequences for moduli problems, which we return to in 4.2 below. The over-riding motivation is perhaps that these existence problems lead to fascinating interactions between Riemannian geometry, complex (algebraic) geometry, PDE and analysis.

1.2. Geometric Invariant theory, Kempf-Ness, etc. Going back to the 1980’s, it has been realised that there is a general package of ideas relating complex moduli problems, in the setting of Mumford’s Geometric Invariant Theory, to “metric geometry”. In this subsection we review this and explain why it gives a conceptual framework for the existence questions in Kähler geometry introduced above.

Let \( K^c \) be reductive complex Lie group, the complexification of a compact group \( K \) (for example \( K = SU(m), K^c = SL(m, \mathbb{C}) \)). Let \( V \) be a complex representation of \( K^c \). Then we have a “complex moduli problem”—to study the set of orbits of \( K^c \) in \( P(V) \). The fundamental difficulty is that the set of all orbits will not have a good structure—it will not be Hausdorff in the induced topology. The algebraic approach is to consider the graded ring \( R \) of \( K^c \)-invariant polynomials on \( V \). The fundamental difficulty is that the set of all orbits will not have a good structure—it will not be Hausdorff in the induced topology. The algebraic approach is to consider the graded ring \( R \) of \( K^c \)-invariant polynomials on \( V \). A famous theorem of Hilbert states that this is finitely generated, so \( \text{Proj}(R) \) is a projective variety. The points of \( \text{Proj}(R) \) correspond approximately, but not exactly to the \( K^c \) orbits in \( P(V) \) and we write

\[ \text{Proj}(R) = P(V) // K^c. \]

We say that a nonzero \( v \in V \) is

- \( \text{polystable} \) if its \( K^c \)-orbit is closed;
- \( \text{stable} \) if it is polystable and its stabiliser in \( K^c \) is finite;
- \( \text{semi-stable} \) if 0 does not lie in the closure of its \( K^c \)-orbit.

These notions pass down to the projectivisation and basic result of Mumford’s theory \[50\] is that the points of \( P(V) // K^c \) correspond to the polystable orbits.

Now introduce “metric geometry” by fixing a \( K \)-invariant Hermitian metric on \( V \). The beautiful and elementary observation of Kempf and Ness \[43\] is that a point \( v \in V \setminus \{0\} \) is polystable if and only if its \( K^c \)-orbit contains a point which minimises the norm within the orbit, and this minimiser is unique up to the action
of $K$. Semistability is characterised by the property that the norm functional has a strictly positive lower bound.

Given a non-zero point $v \in V$ the function $F_v(g) = \log |g(v)|$ on $K^c$ is preserved by left multiplication by $K$ so descends to a function

$$F_v : K^c/K \to \mathbb{R}.$$  

The manifold $K^c/K$ is a symmetric space of negative curvature. The stability or semi-stability of $v$ depends on whether $F_v$ attains a minimum or is bounded below. An important general property is that $F_v$ is convex along geodesics in $K^c/K$. This gives the uniqueness property and also shows that any critical point of the norm functional on an orbit is a minimum. There is a map

$$\mu : V \to \text{Lie}(K)^*$$

(the dual of the Lie algebra) defined by the first order variation of the norm. That is, for $v$ in $V$ and $\xi$ in $\text{Lie}(K)$

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} (|\exp(i\xi)v|) = \langle \mu(v), \xi \rangle.$$  

The map $\mu$ is homogeneous of degree 2 so $\mu_p(v) = |v|^{-2}\mu(v)$ factors through $P(V)$

Then we have

$$P(V)/K = \mu^{-1}_p(0)/K.$$  

The Hilbert-Mumford numerical criterion gives a practical way to detect stability. For simplicity suppose that the $K^c$-orbit of $v$ is free. Let $\lambda : C^* \to K^c$ be a non-trivial 1-parameter subgroup. Then $\lambda(t)v$ has a Laurent expansion about $t = 0$. Let $-w(\lambda, v) \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the order of the leading term in this expansion. Then $v$ is stable if and only if $w(\lambda, v) > 0$ for all $\lambda$ and semi-stable if weak inequality holds.

We will illustrate these ideas with two simple examples.

**Example 1.** Take $K = SU(2)$, $K^c = SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and let $V = U^{\otimes d}$ be the $d$-fold tensor product of the standard 2-dimensional representation $U = \mathbb{C}^2$. Restrict attention to the subset $W \subset V \setminus \{0\}$ of non-zero decomposable tensors. Thus the projectivisation of $W$ can be identified with the $d$-fold product $(\mathbb{CP}^1)^d$. One finds that for $u_1, \ldots, u_d$ in $\mathbb{P}^1$

$$\mu_p(u_1, \ldots, u_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d m(u_i),$$

where $m : \mathbb{CP}^1 \to \text{Lie} K = \mathbb{R}^3$ is the standard embedding of $\mathbb{CP}^1$ as the unit 2-sphere. Thus $\mu^{-1}_p(0)$ is identified with the configurations of $d$ ordered points on the sphere which have centre of mass at 0. The Hilbert-Mumford criterion shows that a configuration $(u_1, \ldots, u_d)$ is polystable if either no point occurs with multiplicity $\geq d/2$ or if $d$ is even and there are distinct points each of multiplicity $d/2$. For example when $d = 4$ one has $P(W)/K^c = \mathbb{CP}^1$ with the equivalence defined by the cross-ratio. The stability discussion corresponds to the fact that one can define the cross-ratio of a quadruple with for configurations with multiplicity 2, but not 3.

**Example 2.** Take $K = U(n)$, $K^c = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and let $V = \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the adjoint representation. Using the standard identification of the Lie algebra and its dual, one finds that $\mu(A) = i[A, A^*]$, where $A^*$ denotes the usual adjoint. So
\(\mu^{-1}(0)\) consists of the “normal” matrices, which commute with their adjoints. On the complex side, the polystable points are the diagonalisable matrices and the identification \(P(V)/K^c = \mu_{P}^{-1}(0)/K\) boils down to the familiar facts that normal matrices are diagonalisable and conversely a diagonalisable matrix is conjugate to a normal one (just map the eigenvectors to an orthonormal basis).

The discussion above is in finite dimensions but many examples (often going under the general heading of the “Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence”) are understood of differential geometric problems which can be viewed as an infinite-dimensional versions of this set-up. A key step in this is a symplectic geometry point of view. In the setting above, we focus on the action of \(K\) on the projectivisation \(P(V)\), preserving the standard Fubini-Study metric and the corresponding symplectic form \(\omega_{FS}\). Then the map \(\mu_{P} : P(V) \to \text{Lie}(K)^*\) appears as the “moment map” for the action. That is, for each \(\xi \in \text{Lie}(K)\) the component \(\langle \mu_{P}(\ ), \xi \rangle\) is a Hamiltonian function for the 1-parameter subgroup generated by \(\xi\). One can then recover the norm function \(F\) on \(K^c/K\) from \(\mu\) by integration. From this point of view the essential ingredients are:

- A complex manifold \(P\) with a Kähler form \(\Omega\).
- A group \(G\) with an isometric holomorphic action on \(P\).
- A moment map \(\mu : P \to \text{Lie}(G)^*\).
- A complexified group \(G^c \supset G\) and an extension of the action to a holomorphic action of \(G^c\) on \(P\).

Given such a set-up we can ask for a useful definition of “polystable points” in \(P\) and try to establish a correspondence between the resulting complex quotient \(P//G^c\) and the symplectic quotient \(\mu^{-1}(0)/G\). If \(P\) is compact (in particular finite-dimensional) and the cohomology class \(2\pi[\Omega]\) is integral we are almost back in the previous case, because we can embed \(P\) in projective space by the Kodaira embedding theorem, but this formulation allows us to consider infinite-dimensional situations of a fundamentally different nature.

The first example of this kind is due to Atiyah and Bott \[4\]. Let \(E \to \Sigma\) be a (trivial) \(C^\infty\)-complex vector bundle over a compact Riemann surface. Let \(A\) be the set of \(\bar{\partial}\)-operators on \(E\): an infinite dimensional complex affine space. There is a group \(G^c\) of bundle automorphisms of \(E\) and the orbits of \(G^c\) in \(A\) correspond to isomorphism classes of holomorphic vector bundles. Now fix a Hermitian metric on \(E\). We get a subgroup \(G \subset G^c\) of unitary automorphisms. Points in \(A\) can be viewed as unitary connections on \(E\). Tangent vectors to \(A\) are 1-forms with values in the bundle \(\text{End}E\) and there is a symplectic form given by

\[
\Omega(a, b) = \int_\Sigma \text{Tr}(a \wedge b),
\]

which is a Kähler form with respect to the complex structure. The moment map is given by the curvature of the connection so \(\mu^{-1}(0)/G\) consists of the equivalences classes of flat unitary connections.

The case when the bundle \(E\) has rank one is a piece of classical theory. In that case one has an identification \(A/G^c = \mu^{-1}(0)/G\) which is the statement that any holomorphic line bundle of degree 0 has a unique compatible flat unitary structure. This amounts to solving a linear PDE and the notion of stability does not enter.
The statement can be viewed as the identification of the Jacobian with the torus $H^1(\Sigma; \mathbb{R})/H^1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$.

For bundles of higher rank the situation is more interesting. On the one hand, Mumford gave a definition of a stable bundle $E \to \Sigma$. He was lead to this by embedding the moduli problem for bundles in a linear set-up of the kind we considered above. The numerical criterion which emerged is that a bundle $E$ (of degree 0, for simplicity) is defined to be stable if all proper sub-bundles $E' \subset E$ have strictly negative degree. Then a polystable bundle (of degree 0) is a direct sum of stable bundles (each of degree 0). Taking this as the definition of a polystable point in $\mathcal{A}$, the identification $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}^c = \mu^{-1}(0)/\mathcal{G}$ is true and amounts to the 1965 theorem of Narasimhan and Seshadri [52]: a bundle admits a flat unitary connection if and only if it is polystable.

There are many other examples in this vein, and a large literature. These include the Hermitian Yang-Mills equation for bundles over higher dimensional base manifolds, “pairs” consisting of a bundle with a holomorphic section and “parabolic structures” along a divisor in the base. In each case a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of some differential geometric structure is given by an algebro-geometric stability condition, involving a numerical criterion. A point to emphasise is that, while there is a common conceptual framework to the results, this framework does not in itself provide proofs. In the prototype finite-dimensional case of Kempf and Ness the proof is elementary because we can minimise the norm functional over a compact set, but this compactness is lost in infinite dimensions. The statements bear on the solubility of nonlinear PDE and the proofs require detailed analysis in each case.

We now return to our main theme of existence problems for Kähler metrics. These can, to some extent, be fitted into the conceptual framework sketched above [28], [29]. Let $(M, \omega)$ be a compact symplectic manifold and let $\mathcal{G}$ be the group of “exact” symplectomorphisms. The Lie algebra of $\mathcal{G}$ is the space of Hamiltonian functions on $M$, modulo constants. (If $H^1(M; \mathbb{R}) = 0$ then the exactness condition is vacuous.)

Let $J$ be the space of almost-complex structures compatible with $\omega$. Then certainly $\mathcal{G}$ acts on $J$. Tangent vectors to $J$ at a given almost-complex structure $J_0$ can be identified with sections of the complex vector bundle $s^2(TM)$ (using the almost complex structure $J_0$). As such, the tangent space acquires a complex structure and this makes $J$, at least formally, into an infinite-dimensional complex manifold. Similarly, the natural $L^2$ metric on these tensor fields gives $J$ a Kähler structure. For our purposes, we are interested in the $\mathcal{G}$-invariant subset $J_{\text{int}} \subset J$ of integrable almost complex structures. This is, formally, a complex analytic subvariety of $J$ and the possible singularities are not relevant to this discussion. So in sum we get an action of $\mathcal{G}$ on an infinite dimensional Kähler space $(J_{\text{int}}, \Omega)$ and we can ask for a moment map for this action. Calculation reveals that this moment map is simply the scalar curvature $\mu(J) = S(\omega, J)$ of the metric induced by $\omega$ and $J$ [38]. More precisely, we use the pairing between functions modulo constants and $S$ given by

$$f \mapsto \int_M f(S - \hat{S}) \frac{\omega^n}{n!},$$
where \( \hat{S} \) is the average value of \( S \) (which is a topological quantity determined by \([\omega]\) and \( c_1(M) \)). So the zeros of the moment map are Kähler metrics of constant scalar curvature.

We now encounter the fundamental difficulty that there is no complexification \( G^c \) of the symplectomorphism group \( G \). However we have an equivalence relation on \( J_{\text{int}} \) given by \( J_1 \sim J_2 \) if \((M,J_1),(M,J_2)\) are isomorphic complex manifolds. The equivalence classes can be interpreted as the orbits of the (nonexistent) group \( G^c \). To explain this briefly, consider for simplicity the case when \((M,J,\omega)\) has no automorphisms, so the \( G \)-orbit of \( J \) is free. Let \( O \subset J \) be the equivalence class of \( J \), as defined above. Then one finds that the tangent bundle of \( O \) can be trivialised as \( O \times H \) where \( H \) is the space of complex valued functions on \( M \) modulo constants. Thus \( H \) has a Lie algebra structure, regarded as the complexification of \( \text{Lie}(G) \), with the bracket given by the complexified Poisson bracket \( \{ , \} \). Under the trivialisation, any element \( \eta \in H \) defines a vector field \( V_\eta \) on \( O \) and one has

\[
[V_{\eta_1}, V_{\eta_2}] = V_{\{\eta_1, \eta_2\}}.
\]

If \( O \) were a finite-dimensional manifold this would lead to a Lie group structure, with the \( V_\eta \) the left-invariant vector fields, but the relevant integration results fail in infinite dimensions. But we could say that \( O \) behaves like a group orbit for the purposes of any infinitesimal calculation. In essence, the Hamiltonian functions which make up the Lie algebra of \( G \) complexify to Kähler potentials. (A detailed development of this point of view is given in [29].)

By a theorem of Moser, the symplectic structures defined by different Kähler metrics on a complex manifold in a given cohomology class are all equivalent. Thus, given the interpretation above of the equivalence classes in \( J_{\text{int}} \) as “complex orbits”, the question of the existence of a constant scalar curvature metric in a given Kähler class becomes precisely our “standard question” of finding a zero of the moment map in a complex orbit, which we expect to be related to a notion of stability.

Taking a slightly different point of view, fix a complex manifold \( X \) and let \( H \) be the space of Kähler metrics in a given cohomology class. In the formal picture above this is viewed as \( G^c/G \). and indeed \( H \) does have the structure of an infinite dimensional symmetric space of negative curvature, defined by the Mabuchi metric [49]. This is exactly analogous to the standard finite-dimensional case, when \( K^c/K \) has such a structure. For an infinitesimal variation \( \delta \omega = i\partial \bar{\partial}(\delta \phi) \) (normalised so that the integral of \( \delta \phi \) is zero) we set:

\[
\|\delta \omega\|_{\omega}^2 = \int_X (\delta \phi)^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}.
\]

Thus \( \delta \omega \) is viewed as a tangent vector of \( H \) at \( \omega \) and this formula defines a Riemannian metric on \( H \). The functional on \( H \) analogous to the Kemp-Ness function \( \log |v| \) is the Mabuchi functional [48]. It can be defined by its infinitesimal variation

\[
\delta F = \int_X \delta \phi (S - \hat{S}) \frac{\omega^n}{n!},
\]

where \( S \) is the scalar curvature and \( \hat{S} \) is the average of \( S \) over \( X \). So a critical point of \( F \) is exactly a CSCK metric.

While we will not go into detail here, similar things hold for the other equations (extremal, Kahler-Einstein, Kahler-Ricci soliton). In the general context of a Kähler
manifold $\mathcal{P}$ with moment map $\mu : A \to \text{Lie}(K)^*$ we suppose that the Lie algebra $K$ has an invariant bilinear form, so we can identify it with its dual. So $\mu(x)$ is now thought of as an element of the Lie algebra and the derivative of the action gives a vector field $V_{\mu(x)}$ on $\mathcal{P}$. Then we can consider points $x \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $V_{\mu(x)}(x) = 0$. These are the analogues, in the general context, of extremal metrics. For example in the case of configurations of $d$ points on the sphere the condition becomes that the configuration has the form of a point $p$ taken with some multiplicity $r$ and the antipodal point $-p$ taken with multiplicity $d - r$. There is a similar interpretation of the Calabi flow as the gradient flow of the function $|\mu|^2$, as studied in the finite dimensional situation by Kirwan [44]. Kähler-Einstein metrics can be regarded as special cases of constant scalar curvature ones. (An integral identity shows that when $c_1(X) = \lambda[\omega]$ any CSCK metric is Kähler-Einstein.) They can also be fitted into the general framework by using a different Kähler structure on $J_{\text{int}}$ [35] with another important functional, the Ding functional, taking the place of the Mabuchi functional. In this set-up, Kähler-Ricci solitons and the Kahler-Ricci flow play similar roles to the extremal metrics and the Calabi flow.

We now introduce a crucial notion in the theory, the Futaki invariant [40]. Initially we define this for a Kähler manifold $X$ with an $S^1$ action.

**Differential geometric approach.** Consider an $S^1$-invariant metric $\omega$ in the given Kähler class. The $S^1$ action is generated by a Hamiltonian function $H$. The Futaki invariant is defined to be

$$\text{Fut} = \int_X H(S - \hat{S}) \frac{\omega^n}{n!}.$$  

It does not depend on the choice of metric $\omega$. In particular, if the Futaki invariant is not zero there can be no CSCK metric. Extremal metrics are the appropriate generalisation of CSCK to the case of manifolds with non-trivial automorphisms and non-zero Futaki invariant.

**Algebro-geometric approach.** Assume that the Kähler class corresponds to an integral polarisation so we have an ample line bundle $L \to X$ with $c_1(L) = 2\pi[\omega]$ and fix a lift of the $S^1$-action to $L$.

Let $d_k = \dim H^0(X, L^k)$. For large $k$ it is given by the Hilbert polynomial, of degree $n$. There is an induced $S^1$-action on $H^0(X, L^k)$: let $w_k$ be the weight of the action on the highest exterior power. For large $k$ it is given by a Hilbert polynomial, of degree $n + 1$ (as explained in [32] for example).

Set $F(k) = \frac{w_k}{kd_k}$ so for large $k$ we have an expansion

$$F(k) = F_0 + k^{-1}F_1 + k^{-2}F_2 + \ldots .$$

The Futaki invariant is the co-efficient $F_1$.

The equivalence of the differential geometric and algebro-geometric definitions comes from the equivariant Riemann-Roch formula. The algebro-geometric viewpoint has the advantage that it extends immediately to the case when $X$ is a singular variety, or even a scheme.
1.3. The YTD conjecture. This is the analogue of the Kobayashi-Hitchin conjecture for the case of CSCK metrics and to formulate it we need to specify the notion of stability which is called K-stability.

Fix \((X, L)\) as above. We consider equivariant degenerations (or test configurations) \(L \to X \to \mathbb{C}\). Here

- \(\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{C}\) is a flat family with \(\pi^{-1}(1) = X\);
- the line bundle \(L \to X\) is ample on the fibres and the restriction of \(L\) to \(\pi^{-1}(1)\) is isomorphic to some power \(L^m\);
- there is a \(\mathbb{C}^*\)-action on the whole set-up.

We define the Futaki invariant of a degeneration \(\mathcal{X}\) to be the invariant we defined above for the central fibre \(\pi^{-1}(0)\), polarised by the restriction of \(L\), and we say that \((X, L)\) is K-stable if \(\text{Fut}(\mathcal{X}) > 0\) for all non-trivial test configurations \(\mathcal{X}\) as above. There are some technicalities in the precise definition of “non-trivial”, as pointed out by Li and Xu [47]. One can require that the total space \(\mathcal{X}\) be normal and that \(\mathcal{X}\) is not the product \(X \times \mathbb{C}\).

Then the (so-called) YTD conjecture is that \((X, L)\) admits a CSCK metric if and only if it is K-stable.

Remarks.

1. It would fit better with the terminology used in 1.2 above to call this “K-polystability”, as is done by some authors.
2. In the case of Fano manifolds and Kähler-Einstein metrics a version of this conjecture was proposed by Yau around 1990.
3. The definition of K-stability (again in the Kähler-Einstein situation), for the case when the central fibre is smooth or mildly singular was given by Tian in 1996 [67].
4. The statement of the conjecture can be extended to include the case of extremal metrics [62].
5. The “easy” side of the conjecture is generally seen as the fact that CSCK implies K-stability and the results here are here are relatively complete. Donaldson proved that CSCK implies K-semistability [32] and this was refined to K-stability by Stoppa [61], assuming that the automorphism group is finite (i.e. \(H^0(TX) = 0\)). In the Fano case the complete result was proved by Berman (with an extension to the singular case) [8]. Similarly there are complete results on the uniqueness of CSCK metrics (modulo holomorphic automorphisms). For Kähler-Einstein metrics this was proved by Bando and Maubuchi [7]. In the CSCK case, uniqueness would follow immediately from the convexity of the Mabuchi functional if one knew that any two metrics could be joined by a smooth geodesic. While this is known not to be exactly true, variants of the argument can be made to work. This was done by Chen [19] in the case when \(c_1 < 0\), by Donaldson in the projective case using a finite dimensional approximation and assuming that \(H^0(TX) = 0\) [30] and by Chen-Tian [21]. The most complete and decisive results have been obtained by Berman and Berndtsson [9]; see also [12], [24].
6. The “correct” conjecture may be a little different (see 4.3 below).
7. There is a circle of ideas and results relating K-stability to the better-established notions in algebraic geometry of Chow stability and Hilbert...
stability. These proceed via projective embeddings $X \to P(H^0(L^k)^*) = P^{N_k}$ and applying Geometric Invariant theory to the action of $SL(N_k + 1, \mathbb{C})$ on the appropriate Chow variety and Hilbert scheme. As $k \to \infty$ there are asymptotic relations, connected to the subject of geometric quantisation, between these finite-dimensional pictures and the infinite dimensional picture. For example it can be proved in this way if $X$ has a CSCK metric and finite automorphism group then it is Chow stable for sufficiently large $k$ [30]. But we will not go into this aspect in detail here.

We will now attempt to motivate, informally, the definition of K-stability and hence the YTD conjecture. From one point of view, we know that a CSCK metric corresponds to a critical point (in fact minimum) of the Mabuchi functional $\mathcal{F}$ on the space $\mathcal{H}$ of Kähler metrics. Very roughly:

- we expect that if there is no minimum then a minimising sequence will tend to a “point at infinity” in $\mathcal{H}$;
- there should be a numerical criterion which tells us which of the points at infinity are “destabilising” i.e. whether the functional $\mathcal{F}$ decreases as we approach that point at infinity.

In this vein the YTD conjecture can be thought of saying that the relevant points at infinity are “algebro-geometric objects”, in fact the central fibres of test configurations, and that the sign of the Futaki invariant gives the appropriate numerical criterion. But we emphasise that this is just a motivating picture, which does not in itself make any progress towards a proof. “Points at infinity” in $\mathcal{H}$ have no a priori meaning and $\mathcal{H}$ is not even locally compact.

From another point of view, we can compare with the finite dimensional situation where we have the Hilbert-Mumford criterion for stability in terms of 1-parameter subgroups $\lambda : \mathbb{C}^* \to K^c$ and the resulting weight $w(\lambda, v)$. This can be described as follows. We consider the action of the 1-parameter subgroup on the point $[v]$ in the projective space $P(V)$. This has a well-defined limit

$$p = \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t)[v],$$

which is a fixed point of the $\lambda$ action on $P(V)$. There is no loss of generality in assuming that $\lambda$ is the complexification of a circle subgroup of $K$, with generator $\xi \in \text{Lie}(K)$. Then we have the formula

$$w(\lambda, v) = \langle \mu(p), \xi \rangle,$$

where $\xi \in \text{Lie}(K^c)$ is the generator of $\lambda$. In fact this is the weight of the action of $\lambda$ on the fibre of the tautological line bundle over $p$. Now we try to take this over to the case of the action of $G$ on $J_{\text{int}}$, so we consider a circle subgroup of $G$ generated by a Hamiltonian $H$. A fixed point in $J_{\text{int}}$ corresponds to a Kähler structure with a circle action, and the formula (3) goes over to the formula (2) for the Futaki invariant in the smooth case. The YTD conjecture can then be viewed as positing

1. Test configurations can be thought as corresponding (at least roughly) to 1-parameter subgroups in $G^c$.
2. We allow singular central fibres, so actually we move outside the space $J_{\text{int}}$, as we have defined it.
3. With these understandings the analogue of the Hilbert-Mumford criterion for the existence of the zero of the moment map is true.
With regard to the first item, recall that in the finite-dimensional situation the geodesics in the symmetric space $K^c/K$ correspond to analytic 1-parameter subgroups in $K^c$ which are the complexification of 1-parameter subgroups in $K$. So one can attempt to make sense of 1-parameter subgroups in $G^c$ as geodesic rays in the space of metrics $\mathcal{H}$. This geodesic equation makes perfectly good sense: it is a version of the homogeneous complex Monge-Ampère equation and various results in the direction of item (1) have been established, beginning with Phong and Sturm [56].

2. Proofs of cases of the YTD conjecture

2.1. Initial discussion. The YTD conjecture, for general polarised manifolds $(X, L)$ remains a conjecture—and one which does not seem likely to be established in the near future. The constant scalar curvature equation is a 4th. order nonlinear PDE and the existence theory is very limited at present. There are results on small deformations by Székelyhidi [63] and others. There are other results of an asymptotic nature considering blow-ups and fibred manifolds. In the first case one considers a set of points in a CSCK manifold and the existence problem for metrics on the blow-up, in a Kähler class where all the exceptional fibres are small [5]. (Combining this blow-up theory with other results, Shu showed that in each deformation class of Kähler surfaces there is a manifold admitting an extremal metric [58].) In the second case, one considers a vector bundle $E \to X$ over a CSCK manifold and metrics on the projectivisation $\mathbb{P}(E)$, in a Kähler class where the fibres are small. The CSCK equations are then related to the Hermitian Yang-Mills equation and the K-stability of $\mathbb{P}(E)$ to the stability of $E$ [42], [57]. There are also results for other fibred manifolds [37].

The situations mentioned above are all of a perturbative nature and the proofs are based on implicit function theorems. Beyond this there are two main cases where stability enters in an essential way and where the YTD conjecture has been established: toric surfaces and Fano manifolds. We will discuss these in the next two subsections.

2.2. Toric manifolds. We consider a polarised toric manifold $(X, L)$ of complex dimension $n$. Thus the complex torus $T^c = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ acts on $(X, L)$ and there is a dense, free, open orbit in $X$. This data defines a convex polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, which is the convex hull of a finite set of integral points and which satisfied the “Delzant condition”. Conversely, any such polytope yields a polarised toric manifold. This correspondence can be developed in many ways. In terms of Kähler geometry, we consider Kähler metrics on $X$ which are invariant under the real torus $T = (S^1)^n$. Restricted to the open orbit, such a metric is given by a Kähler potential which can be viewed as a convex function on $T^c/T = \mathbb{R}^n$. The Legendre transform of this function is then a convex function $u$ on $P$. This approach was developed by Guillemin [41] and Abreu [1] and corresponds exactly to the toric case of that described in 1.2 above. The manifold $X$ is regarded as a compactification of $\text{int}P \times T$ with the fixed symplectic form $\sum dx^a d\theta_a$ where $x^a$ are standard co-ordinates on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\theta_a$ are standard angular co-ordinates on $T$. Then the convex function $u$ defines a complex structure on $X$, specified by saying that the complex 1-forms $d\theta_a + i \sum_b u_{ab} dx^b$ have type $(1, 0)$. Here $u_{ab}$ denotes the second derivative $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^a \partial x^b}$. The function $u$ is required to satisfy certain boundary conditions on $\partial P$—roughly speaking, $u$ should behave like $d \log d$ where $d$ is the distance to the boundary.
These boundary conditions mean that the complex structure extends smoothly to the compact manifold \( X \). While these complex structures—for different symplectic potentials \( u \)—are different they are all isomorphic. The relation between the two points of view, fixing either the complex structure or the symplectic structure, becomes the classical Legendre transform for convex functions.

Each codimension-1 face of \( P \) lies in a hyperplane which contains an integer lattice. This lattice defines a Lebesgue measure on the hyperplane. Putting these together, we get a natural measure \( d\sigma \) on \( \partial P \). A crucial object in the theory is then the linear functional \( L \) on functions \( f \) on \( P \),

\[
L f = \int_{\partial P} f d\sigma - A \int_P f d\mu.
\]

Here the real number \( A \) is \( \text{Vol}(\partial P, d\sigma)/\text{Vol}(P, d\mu) \), so that \( L \) vanishes on the constant functions. The restriction of \( L \) to the linear functions defines the Futaki invariant of \( (X, L) \) and we can only have a constant scalar curvature metric if this vanishes, which we now assume. That is, we assume that the centre of mass of \( (\partial P, d\sigma) \) coincides with the centre of mass of \( (P, d\mu) \).

The metric defined by a convex function \( u \) is

\[
\sum u_{ab} dx^a dx^b + u^{ab} d\theta_a d\theta_b,
\]

where \( (u^{ab}) \) is the inverse of the Hessian matrix \( (u_{ab}) \). The scalar curvature is

\[
S = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ab} \frac{\partial^2 u^{ab}}{\partial x^a \partial x^b}.
\]

If we have a constant scalar curvature metric then the constant is \( A/2 \), so the problem is to solve the fourth order nonlinear PDE

\[
\sum_{ab} \frac{\partial^2 u_{ab}}{\partial x^a \partial x^b} = -A
\]

for a convex function \( u \) satisfying the boundary conditions and with this given \( A \). This has a variational formulation. We set

\[
\mathcal{F}(u) = -\int_P \log \det (u_{ab}) + \mathcal{L}(u),
\]

which is just the Mabuchi functional in this context. Then the PDE problem is to find a minimum of the functional \( \mathcal{F} \) over all convex functions on \( P \).

We now turn to algebraic geometry. Suppose that \( f \) is a convex rational piecewise-linear function, i.e. \( f = \max \lambda_i \) where \( \{\lambda_i\} \) are a finite collection of affine-linear functions with rational co-efficients. Thus \( f \) defines a decomposition of \( P \) into convex rational polytopes, on each of which \( f \) is affine-linear. Define \( Q \subset P \times \mathbb{R} \) to be \( \{(x, y) : y \geq f(x)\} \). This is a convex polytope which corresponds to an \( (n+1) \)-dimensional toric variety \( \mathcal{X} \). This yields a degeneration of \( X \) in which the central fibre is typically reducible: the components of the central fibre correspond to the pieces in the decomposition of \( P \). For example if we take \( n = 1 \), \( P = [-1, 1] \subset \mathbb{R} \) and \( f(x) = |x| \) then we get the degeneration of \( \mathbb{C}P^1 \), embedded as a smooth conic in \( \mathbb{C}P^2 \), into a pair of lines.

Now the Futaki invariant of such a degeneration \( \mathcal{X} \) is just \( \mathcal{L}(f) \). So we say that \( (X, L) \) is toric K-stable if \( \mathcal{L}(f) \geq 0 \) for all such rational piecewise-linear functions \( f \), with equality if and only if \( f \) is affine-linear. The YTD conjecture in this case
is the conjecture that this is the necessary and sufficient condition for solving the PDE problem (6). (In fact there is a significant technical difficulty here, in showing that for toric manifolds toric K-stability is equivalent to K-stability as previously defined—\textit{a priori} the manifold could be de-stabilised by a degeneration which is not compatible with the toric structure. This was resolved recently—for toric actions—by work of Codogni and Stoppa \cite{24}).

Some part of the connection between the PDE problem and the stability condition can be seen as follows. Suppose that there is a PL convex function $f$ with $\mathcal{L}(f) < 0$. Then we choose a smooth convex function $\tilde{f}$ with $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{f}) < 0$ and, given some reference potential $u_0$, we consider the 1-parameter family $u_s = u_0 + s\tilde{f}$. The fact that $\tilde{f}$ is convex means that for $u_s$ is a symplectic potential for all $s \geq 0$. The slow growth of the logarithmic term in (7) means that

$$F(u_s) \sim s\mathcal{L}(\tilde{f})$$

as $s \to \infty$. In particular the functional $F$ is not bounded below and there can be no minimum. This fits in with the general heuristic picture we outlined in 1.3 above. The metric on the space of torus-invariant Kähler metrics is flat and the geodesics are exactly the linear paths like $u_s$.

We note that for toric Fan manifolds the existence problem for Kähler-Einstein, metrics and more generally Kähler-Ricci soliton metrics, was completely solved by Wang and Zhu \cite{68}. It is also possible to verify that the appropriate stability conditions are satisfied in this situation.

The toric version of the YTD conjecture in the case of toric surfaces, $n = 2$, was confirmed in a series of papers culminating in \cite{33}. The strategy of proof was a continuity method. Suppose that we have any polytope $P$ (not necessarily having the Delezant property, or satisfying any rationality condition) with a measure $\partial\sigma$ on the boundary. Then we can define the linear functional $\mathcal{L}$ and set up the PDE problem for a function $u$. We introduce the stability condition, that $\mathcal{L}(f) \geq 0$ for all convex functions $f$, with equality if and only if $f$ is affine-linear. Then part of the work is to show that in the case of a pair $(P, d\sigma)$ arising from a toric surface this notion coincides with that defined before. That is, a destabilising function $f$ can be taken to be piecewise linear and rational. With this alternative definition in place one can consider 1-parameter families $(P_t, d\sigma_t)$ and the main task is to show that if the stability condition holds for all $t$ in a closed interval $[0, 1]$ and if there is a solution $u^{(t)}$ for $t < 1$ then in fact there is a solution for $t = 1$. This requires many steps. One set of arguments culminate in a uniform bound

$$\|u^{(t)}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$$

for all $t < 1$. From this we get a weak limit $u^{(1)}$ (initially from a subsequence $t_i \to 1$) and the problem is to show that this is smooth, strictly convex and satisfies the boundary conditions. This requires further estimates. For example a relatively simple estimate gives a uniform lower bound

$$\det \left( u^{(t)}_{ab} \right) \geq \epsilon > 0.$$  

One of the special features of dimension 2 is that such a lower bound on the Monge-Ampère function gives a strict convexity property of $u$—this fails in higher dimensions. In general, the estimates near the boundary are particularly difficult.
All of these results, for toric surfaces, have been extended to extremal metrics by B. Chen, A-M Li and L. Sheng [17]. The extremal equation in this setting is the same equation (6) but now with $A$ an affine-linear function on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

The underlying reason why the differential geometric theory works simply in the toric case is in that the group of symplectomorphisms which commute with the torus action is abelian. In the world of symplectic manifolds with group actions there is a larger class of “multiplicity free” manifolds having this property. These roughly correspond to “reductive varieties” in algebraic geometry. Much of the theory discussed above can be extended to this situation [3, 34]. Recently Chen Han, Li, Lian and Sheng have extended their analytical results to establish a version of the YTD conjecture for a class of these manifolds, in the rank 2 case [18]. A comprehensive treatment of the Fano case has been achieved by Delcroix [26].

To understand the existence proofs, it is important to understand what happens when the stability condition fails. Suppose then that the pair $(P_1, d\sigma_1)$ corresponds to a K-semistable surface $X$, so that there is a non-trivial convex function $f$ with $L(f) = 0$. One can show that $f$ can be taken to have the form $\max(0, \lambda)$, where $\lambda$ is affine linear; so the resulting decomposition of $P_1$ has just two pieces $P = P^+ \cup P^-$. This corresponds to a degeneration where the central fibre has two components $Y^+ \cup_D Y^-$. Now suppose that this pair is embedded in a 1-parameter family as above, where the stability condition holds for $t < 1$. The uniform estimate (9) will then fail. The analytical result suggest that we can normalise $u(t)$ in two different ways

$$u^+_t = u(t) + c^+_t f, \quad u^-_t = u(t) + c^-_t f,$$

for $c^+_t, c^-_t \in \mathbb{R}$, so that $u^+_t$ converge over $P^\pm$ to limits $u^+_1$, and that these limits define complete CSCK metrics on the non-compact surfaces $Y^+ \setminus D$. Transverse to the divisor $D$, the picture should be modelled on the well-known degeneration of a family of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces into a pair of cusps. (We can suppose that the boundary between $P^+, P^-$ is a line $x^1 = \text{constant}$. Then the second derivative of $u^1_1$ becomes very large and one sees from the formula (4) that the metric becomes large in the $x^1$ direction and small in the $\theta_1$ direction.)

3. Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds

3.1. Initial discussion. In this section we discuss the proof of the Yau (or YTD) conjecture in the case of Fano manifolds by Chen, Donaldson and Sun [20]. That is:

**Theorem.** A Fano manifold $X$ admits a Kähler-Einstein metric if and only if $(X, K_X^{-1})$ is K-stable.

We should emphasise again that there are many earlier results, of Siu [59], Nadel [51], Tian [66] and others, proving that particular Fano manifolds are Kähler-Einstein, using the theory of the $\alpha$-invariant and log canonical threshold.

The equation we want to solve is $\rho = \omega$ where $\rho$ is the Ricci form. There are at least three strategies to attack this PDE problem.
(1) **The continuity method:** For a parameter $s \in [0, 1]$ and some fixed positive closed form $\rho_0$ try to solve

$$\rho = s\omega + (1 - s)\rho_0,$$

and hope to solve up to $s = 1$ to get the Kähler-Einstein metric.

(2) **Kähler-Ricci flow:** With any initial condition there is a solution of the flow equation

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} = \omega - \rho,$$

defined for all $t > 0$. We hope to obtain a Kähler-Einstein metric as the limit when $t \to \infty$.

(3) **Cone singularities:** Fix a smooth divisor $D \in |-pK_X|$ for some $p$. For $\beta \in (0, 1]$ we try to find a Kähler-Einstein metric with a cone angle $2\pi \beta$ transverse to $D$ and hope to solve up to $\beta = 1$ to get a smooth Kähler-Einstein metric.

These have obvious similarities in spirit and also in the more technical aspects—the crucial thing is to obtain the appropriate limit which will of course involve using the stability condition (of course the same holds for the toric case discussed in the previous section).

Approach (3) was the one taken by Chen, Donaldson, Sun. Subsequently other proofs were given by Datar and Székelyhidi [65, 25] using approach (1) and by Chen and Wang [23] and Chen, Sun and Wang [22] using approach (2). In the unstable case, Chen, Sun and Wang show that the flow converges to a Ricci soliton metric on a (possibly singular) variety. They show that this variety is a degeneration of the central fibre of a destabilising test configuration. These other proofs have the advantage that they are compatible with group actions and lead to explicit new examples of manifolds admitting Kähler-Einstein metrics. (For torus actions, this can now also be handled using the result of Codogni and Stoppa [24] mentioned before.) We should also mention that there is now another proof (of a slightly different result) due to Berman, Boucksom, Jonsson [10] which uses very different ideas.

In all three strategies (1), (2), (3), the crucial thing is to be able to take a limit of the metrics in our approximating scheme and to show that this limit is an algebro-geometric object. The foundation for this is the existence of a deep convergence theory for Riemannian metrics given suitable control of the Ricci tensor. This is what makes the Kähler-Einstein problem more tractable than the general CSCK one. In the next two subsections we will outline some of the main ideas involved.

### 3.2. Gromov-Hausdorff convergence.

Let $A, B$ be compact metric spaces. The Gromov-Hausdorff distance $d_{GH}(A, B)$ can be defined by saying that for $\delta > 0$ we have $d_{GH}(A, B) \leq \delta$ if there is a metric on $A \sqcup B$ extending the given metrics on $A, B$ and such that $A$ and $B$ are $\delta$–dense. A fundamental theorem of Gromov runs as follows. Suppose $C, D > 0$ are given and $(M_i, g_i)$ is a sequence of Riemannian manifolds of fixed dimension $m$ and with

- Ricci$(M_i, g_i) \geq C$;
- Diam$(M_i, g_i) \leq D$.

Then there is a subsequence which converges, in the sense of $d_{GH}$, to some limiting metric space.
The Ricci curvature enters the proof through volume comparison. For simplicity suppose $C = 0$. Bishop’s Theorem states that for a point $p$ in a compact manifold $M$ with $\text{Ricci} \geq 0$ the ratio

$$\frac{\text{Vol}(B_p(r))}{r^m}$$

is a decreasing function of $r$. This is a strong global form of the infinitesimal relation (1). The consequence here is that if the volume of $M$ is $V$ and the diameter is $\leq D$ then

(11) $$\text{Vol}(B_p(r)) \geq \frac{V}{D^m}r^m.$$  

Now a packing argument shows that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a fixed computable number $N(\epsilon)$ (determined by $V$ and $D$), such that $M$ can be covered by $N(\epsilon)$ balls of radius $\epsilon$. Applying this to the $M_i$, the construction of the subsequence and the Gromov-Hausdorff limit follows from an elementary argument. (Take a sequence of approximations to the $M_i$ by finite sets and use the fact that any bounded sequence of real numbers has a convergent subsequence along with a “diagonal argument”.)

While the Gromov-Hausdorff limit is initially just a metric space a lot more is known about it. Results of Cheeger and Colding [15], Anderson [2] and Cheeger, Colding and Tian [16] establish that:

- if the Ricci tensors of the $M_i$ also have an upper bound and the volumes of $M_i$ are bounded below then the limit $M_\infty$ is the union $R \sqcup S$ where the regular set $R$ is a $C^{1,\alpha}$ Riemannian manifold and the singular set $S$ is closed, of Hausdorff codimension $\geq 4$;
- at each point of $M_\infty$ there exist metric “tangent cones”.

### 3.3. Gromov-Hausdorff limits, line bundles and algebraic geometry.

To get to the main ideas we discuss a slightly different situation as in [25]. Suppose that we have a polarised manifold $L \to X$ and a Kähler class $2\pi c_1(L)$, a Hermitian metric on $L$ and the Kähler form defined by the curvature of this metric. A fundamental, but completely elementary, point is that taking a power $L^k$ of the line bundle corresponds to scaling the metric on $X$, so distances are scaled by $\sqrt{k}$.

Suppose that we have a sequence of such $(L_i, X_i, \omega_i)$ with a fixed diameter bound and bounded Ricci curvatures. By Gromov’s Theorem, we can suppose there is a Gromov-Hausdorff limit $Z$. The central problem is to relate this to algebraic geometry. A rough statement expressing this is that $Z$ is homeomorphic to a normal complex projective variety. There are various more precise statements: for example (after perhaps taking a subsequence) we can find a fixed $k$ and embeddings $\tau_i : X_i \to \mathbb{C}P^N$ defined by the sections of $L_i^k$ such that the projective varieties $\tau_i(X_i) \subset \mathbb{C}P^N$ converge to a normal variety $W$ which is homeomorphic to $Z$.

The essential difficulty in proving this is to establish a “partial $C^0$-estimate”. Given a positive Hermitian line bundle $L \to X$ and a point $p \in X$ we define $\rho(p, L)$ to be the norm of the evaluation map

$$\text{ev}_p : H^0(X, L) \to L_p,$$

using the standard $L^2$ metric on the sections. The statement that $\rho(p, L) > 0$ for all $p$ is the statement that the sections of $L$ define a map

$$\tau : X \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L)^*).$$
A definite lower bound on $\rho(p, L)$ gives metric control of the map $\tau$. The estimate in question is to show that there is some fixed $k_0$ and a definite lower bound on $\rho(L_{i}^{k_0}, p)$ for all $p \in X_i$. This can be thought of as a quantitative form of the standard Kodaira theory for high powers of a positive line bundle.

The outline of the argument to achieve this is as follows.

- We work near a point $q$ of the Gromov-Hausdorff limit $Z$. A very small neighbourhood of $q$ is close to a neighbourhood in a tangent cone $C(Y)$ (i.e. the metric cone over a metric space $Y$).
- For a suitable open set $U$ in the regular part of $C(Y)$ we get approximately holomorphic and isometric embeddings $\chi_i : U \rightarrow X_i$, after rescaling and for large $i$.
- Over $U$, in the regular set of the cone, there is a canonical section $\sigma$ of the trivial holomorphic line bundle. It has Gaussian decay:

$$|\sigma|^2 = e^{-r^2/2},$$

where $r$ is the distance to the vertex of the cone.
- If we can trivialise (or “approximately trivialise”) the bundle $\chi_i^* (L_i^k)$, for a suitable $k$, and if we have a suitable cut-off function $\beta$ supported in $U$, we can transport $\beta \sigma$ to a $C^\infty$ “approximately holomorphic” section $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $L_i^k$.
- There is a well-developed “Hörmander technique” for studying the projection of $\tilde{\sigma}$ to the holomorphic sections.

The main work goes into the construction of suitable cut-off functions and in analysing the holonomy of the line bundles. The upshot is that one constructs sections of $L_i^k$ which are sufficiently controlled to give the required estimate.

3.4. Outline of the main proof (the YTD conjecture for Fano manifolds). Following the approach (3), using Kähler-Einstein metrics with cone singularities, the programme of work is.

1. Show that there is a solution for small cone angle.
2. Show that given one solution the cone angle can be slightly deformed.
3. Extend the discussion above to metrics with cone singularities to show that if there is a sequence $\beta_i \rightarrow \beta_\infty > 0$ with solutions for each $i$ then we can take a Gromov-Hausdorff limit which is naturally a normal projective variety $W$ and is the limit of projective embeddings of $X$.
4. If $W = X$ show that there is a solution for the limiting cone angle $\beta_\infty$.
5. If $W \neq X$, construct a non-trivial test configuration $\mathcal{X}$ with central fibre $W$.
6. Show that $\text{Fut}(\mathcal{X}) \leq 0$.

In item (5) a key step is to establish that the automorphism group of $W$ is reductive. This is an extension of the standard Matsushima theorem to the singular case and depends upon sophisticated results from pluripotential theory \[14\]. Item (6) follows from an extension of the definition of the Futaki invariant to pairs.

An interesting feature is that this proof shows that to test K-stability for Fano manifolds it suffices to consider test configurations with normal central fibre. In this proof the fact emerges from the differential geometry and Riemannian convergence.
theory: it is bound up with the non-collapsing inequality (11). On the algebro-geometric side this fact was proved (a little earlier) by Li and Xu \cite{[47]}, using results from the minimal model programme.

4. Concluding discussion

4.1. Examples. A major difficulty in this area is that it usually very hard to check whether a manifold is K-stable. This is one of the advantages of the toric case, where the criterion is relatively explicit. The situation for Fano manifolds is much less satisfactory and there are few interesting examples known. Even in dimension 3, where the Fano manifolds are completely classified, it is not known exactly which of them are K-stable. This is an outstanding algebro-geometric problem and one can certainly hope that it will be much better understood by the time of the next AMS summer algebraic geometry meeting. Among recent developments we note the work of Ilten and St"oss \cite{[45]} and Delcroix \cite{[27]} on manifolds with large symmetry groups and of Fujita and Odaka \cite{[39]} on a new “δ-invariant” criterion.

There is one interesting class of examples in dimension 3, which go back in this context to Tian \cite{[67]}. These occur in the family of Fano 3-folds of type $V_{22}$ which can all be embedded in the Grassmannian of 3-planes in $\mathbb{C}^7$. More precisely, let $U$ be a 7-dimensional complex vector space and $\Pi$ be a 3-dimensional subspace of $\Lambda^2 U^*$. Then we define a variety $X_\Pi$ in $\text{Gr}_3(U)$ to be set of 3-planes $P \subset U$ such that $\omega|_P = 0$ for all $\omega \in \Pi$. For generic $\Pi$ this is a smooth Fano 3-fold, so we have a family of manifolds parametrised by an open subset $\Omega \subset \text{Gr}_3(U^*)$. The problem is to identify the set $\Delta \subset \Omega$ of points $\Pi \in \Omega$ which define K-unstable manifolds $X_\Pi$.

This is an interesting case because it is known that neither $\Delta$ nor $\Omega \setminus \Delta$ are empty. We will recall some of the discussion of this from \cite{[34]}. Take $U$ to be the irreducible 7-dimension representation $s^6$ of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. This is an orthogonal representation and the image of the Lie algebra under the action defines a 3-dimensionalsubspace $\Pi_0$ of $\Lambda^2 U^*$. The corresponding variety $X_0 = X_{\Pi_0}$ —the Mukai-Umemura manifold—is smooth and clearly supports an induced $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$-action. It can be shown that $X_0$ admits a Kähler-Einstein metric and hence is K-stable.

One can use deformation theory to describe explicitly the intersection of $\Delta$ with a neighbourhood of the point $[\Pi_0] \in \Omega$. The group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on the deformation space $T = H^1(TX_0)$ and as a representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ we have $T = s^6(C^2)$. For each small $\alpha \in T$ we have a deformation $X_\alpha$. Then for small $\alpha \neq 0$ the manifold $X_\alpha$ has a KE metric if and only if $\alpha$ is polystable (in the GIT sense that we defined in Section 1.2) for the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ action. That is, if $\alpha$ is regarded as a section of a line bundle of degree 8 over $\mathbf{CP}^1$ then either:

(1) There is no zero of multiplicity $\geq 4$ (the stable case), or
(2) There are two distinct zeros, each of multiplicity 4.

In the second case, there is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on the manifold $X_\alpha$. There is a unique $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$-invariant divisor $D$ in the anticanonical linear system $|-K_{X_0}|$. This is the variety of tangents to the rational normal curve in $\mathbf{P}^{12} = \mathbf{P}(s^{12})$ and its normalisation is $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$. The divisor $D$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ but has a cusp singularity along the diagonal. In suitable local co-ordinates $(x, y, t)$ on $X_0$ the divisor $D$ is defined by the equation $y^2 = x^3$. The local versal deformation of this cusp singularity is given by

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
y^2 &= x^3 + a(t)x + b(t),
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
Globally, $a, b$ are sections of $O(8), O(12)$ respectively over the diagonal $\mathbb{P}^1$. This has the following interpretation, at least at the infinitesimal level. As a representation of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ the deformation space of the pair $(X_0, D_0)$ is $s^8 \oplus s^{12}$ with the $s^8$ factor corresponding to the deformations of $X_0$ (the space $T$ above) and $s^{12}$ to the deformations of $D_0$ within $X_0$. Then in the description by $(a, b)$ the term $a$ lies in $s^8$ and $b$ in $s^{12}$. If $a$ is not stable, with a single zero of multiplicity 4 at the point $t = 0$ say, we can choose $b$ to have a zero of multiplicity greater than 6 at $t = 0$. We get a pair $(X_\alpha, D_\alpha)$ whose orbit under an action of a 1-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{C}^* \subset SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ converges to $(X_0, D_0)$. This leads to a plausible conjectural description of the unstable set $\Delta$. Consider the surface singularity at 0 in $\mathbb{C}^3$ defined by the equation $f = 0$ where

$$f(x, y, t) = y^2 - x^3 - t^4 x.$$ 

The versal deformation space is the quotient of $\mathbb{C}[x, y, t]$ by the ideal generated by $f$ and the partial derivatives $f_x, f_y, f_t$, and this has dimension 10. So we expect that in the 22 dimensional family of $K3$ surfaces arising from deformations of $D_0$ there should be a 12 dimensional sub-family with singularities modelled on $\{ f = 0 \}$. The deformation discussion suggest that these arise as surfaces which lie in unstable 3-folds $X_\alpha$, with a 6-dimensional linear system of these singular surfaces for each such $X_\alpha$. Define $\Delta'$ to be the locus of points $\Pi$ such that there is an anticanonical divisor in $X_\Pi$ which has singularity of the form $f = 0$. Then it seems plausible that $X_\Pi$ is not K-stable if and only if it does not admit a $\mathbb{C}^*$ action and if $\Pi$ lies in the closure of $\Delta'$. (We emphasise that the deformation discussion does not establish anything about the stability for points $\Pi$ far away from $\Pi_0$—a priori there could be quite different kinds of destabilising test configurations.)

4.2. Connections with moduli theory. For manifolds with $c_1 < 0$ the existence problem for KE metrics is completely understood following Aubin and Yau. But for canonically polarised singular varieties Odaka showed that K-stability is equivalent to the stability in the sense of Kollár, Shepherd-Barron and Alexeev [53], which is formulated in terms of having at worst “semi log canonical” singularities. There is a good generalisation of the notion of a Kähler-Einstein metric to the case of singular varieties (roughly, a metric on the smooth part defined by a bounded potential) [11]. Berman and Guenancia show that the existence of such a metric is equivalent to K-stability [13]. That is, the “YTD conjecture” is non-trivial and true for canonically polarised varieties.

Now we turn to the Fano case. One can form compactifications of moduli spaces of K-stable Fano manifolds (as topological spaces) using the the Gromov-Hausdorff topology defined via the Kähler-Einstein metrics. There have been important recent developments relating these to algebro-geometric approaches.

- Odaka, Spotti and Sun studied the case of surfaces [55].
- Spotti, Sun and Yao extend the existence theory to K-stable $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano varieties. [60].
- Odaka constructed a compactified moduli space of K-stable $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano varieties as an algebraic space [54].
- Li, Wang and Xu show that the moduli space of K-stable Fano manifolds is quasi-projective (and also construct the compactification as an algebraic space) [46].
At the time of writing it seems not to be known if the Gromov-Hausdorff compactification is projective, but one can hope for further developments in this direction.

4.3. The CSCK and extremal cases. Examples suggest that the definition above of K-stability may not be the correct one for general polarised manifolds. Szekelyhidi has given a modified definition in [64]. Given a polarised variety \((X, L)\) we write \(R_k = H^0(X, L^k)\) and form the graded ring \(R = \bigoplus R_k\). Then we consider filtrations

\[ C = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \cdots \subset R, \]

such that

- \(F_i, F_j \subset F_{i+j}\);
- \(F_i = \bigoplus_k F_i \cap R_k\);
- \(R = \bigcup_i F_i\).

The Rees algebra of such a filtration \(F\) is the subalgebra of \(R[t]\) given by:

\[ \text{Rees}(F) = \bigoplus_i (F_i R)t^i. \]

Szekelyhidi observes if the Rees algebra is finitely generated the scheme

\[ \text{Proj}_{C[t]} \text{Rees}(F) \]

is a test configuration for \((X, L)\). Conversely a construction of Witt-Nyström defines a filtration from any test configuration. So it is the same to talk about test configurations as filtrations with finitely generated Rees algebra. Szekelyhidi then extends the definition of the Futaki invariant to general filtrations—not necessarily with finitely generated Rees algebra. In turn this leads to a new, and more restrictive, notion of K-stability. For example in the toric case the vector space \(R_k\) has a standard basis labelled by the lattice points \(\mathbb{Z}^n \cap kP\). Given any convex function \(f\) on \(P\) we define convex subsets

\[ P_{i,k} = \{ x \in P : f(x) \leq i/k \}. \]

and we define a filtration by taking \(F_i \cap R_k\) to be the subspace corresponding to the lattice points in \(kP_{i,k}\). The Futaki invariant of this filtration is given by the integral expression \(\mathcal{L}(f)\) we discussed before.

It seems likely that this definition of Szekelyhidi gives the correct formulation of the YTD conjecture. For example in the toric case in dimension \(n > 2\) it seems likely that one has to consider general convex functions, not just rational piecewise linear ones. Perhaps there is a sensible way to define “points at infinity” in the space of Kähler metrics and a precise relationship between these and filtrations.

We conclude with some remarks about the more differential-geometric and PDE aspects. Extending the existence theory to general CSCK and extremal metrics seems very hard at present because there is no analogue of the Riemannian convergence theory based on control of just the scalar curvature. In the Fano case one is essentially concerned with metrics having a positive lower bound on the Ricci curvature and this means that “collapsing” cannot occur—the Bishop inequality gives a lower bound \((11)\) on the volume of any metric ball in terms of its radius.

This is connected with the algebro-geometric fact that it suffices to test stability by degenerations to normal varieties. In general we have to consider such collapsing
phenomena, as we see already in the toric case. (For example in a sequence of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces developing a long neck and degenerating into a pair of cusps, the metric discs in the middle of the neck will have very small area.) From the algebro-geometric point of view, it is essential to consider degenerations to non-normal varieties. It seems reasonable to hope for progress in the case of higher dimensional toric manifolds or for general complex surfaces but there are many difficulties and important problems for the future.
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